

# UNICREDIT BANK GMBH

## EXPLANATION OF HOW ESG FACTORS ARE REFLECTED IN THE KEY ELEMENTS OF THE BENCHMARK METHODOLOGY

UC Equity ESG Benchmark Family

**Introduction:**

This document provides the explanation of how ESG Factors are reflected in the key elements of the Benchmark Methodology for the members of the UC Equity ESG Benchmark Family. These are benchmarks for the purpose of the Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on indices used as benchmarks in financial instruments and financial contracts or to measure the performance of investment funds and amending Directives 2008/48/EC and 2014/17/EU and Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 (the “**Benchmarks Regulation**” or “**BMR**”).

Nothing contained in this document should be construed as an offer or solicitation of any transaction.

Original document date: 26 February 2021

Version date: 15 December 2023

The latest version of this document can be found at [www.onemarkets.de](http://www.onemarkets.de). UniCredit Bank GmbH reserves the right to amend, supplement or update this document from time to time and accepts no liability for any such modifications.

**Explanation of how ESG Factors are reflected in the key elements of the Benchmark Methodology**

1. Name of the benchmark administrator	UniCredit Bank GmbH
2. Type of family of benchmarks	Equity
3. Name of the family of benchmarks	UC Equity ESG Benchmark Family
4. Does the benchmark methodology for the family of benchmarks take into account ESG factors?	Yes
5. For the benchmark family, the ESG factors that are taken into account in the benchmark methodology.  Explanation how those ESG factors are used for the selection, weighting or exclusion of underlying assets.	

The ESG factors are disclosed at an aggregated weighted average value at the level of the family of benchmarks.

a) List of environmental factors considered

Exclusion based on Controversial Business Practices: Severe controversial environmental practices and minimum revenue thresholds as listed below.

<b>Controversial Business Area</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Revenue Threshold</b>
Fossil Fuels	Coal	Extractives production	0%
		Energy and other production	25%
	Oil	Extractives production	25%
		Hydraulic Fracturing	High Volume
Green genetic engineering	Oil sands	Production	0%
		Production	0%
Nuclear Power	Power Generation		0%
		Uranium Production	0%
	Service		5%

b) List of social factors considered

Exclusion based on Controversial Business Practices: Severe violations of human rights and minimum revenue thresholds as listed below.

<b>Controversial Business Area</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Revenue Threshold</b>
------------------------------------	----------------	----------------	--------------------------

	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1255 245 1331 269">Alcohol</td> <td data-bbox="1436 245 1541 269">Production</td> <td data-bbox="1793 245 1835 269">5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1436 293 1541 318">Distribution</td> <td data-bbox="1793 293 1835 318">10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1255 342 1402 367">Animal Testing</td> <td data-bbox="1436 342 1598 464">Non pharmaceutical beyond legal requirements</td> <td data-bbox="1793 342 1835 367">0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1255 488 1352 513">Gambling</td> <td data-bbox="1436 488 1541 513">Production</td> <td data-bbox="1793 488 1835 513">0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1436 537 1598 594">Distribution &amp; Service</td> <td data-bbox="1793 537 1835 561">10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1255 618 1373 708">Military equipment and services</td> <td data-bbox="1436 618 1598 675">Production and services</td> <td data-bbox="1625 618 1751 675">combat involvement</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1625 732 1751 789">Non-combat involvement</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1625 813 1751 837">Civil firearms</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1436 862 1562 919">Controversial Weapons</td> <td data-bbox="1793 862 1835 886">0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1255 943 1373 967">Pornography</td> <td data-bbox="1436 943 1541 967">Production</td> <td data-bbox="1793 943 1835 967">0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1436 992 1541 1016">Distribution</td> <td data-bbox="1793 992 1835 1016">10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1255 1040 1331 1065">Tobacco</td> <td data-bbox="1436 1040 1541 1065">Production</td> <td data-bbox="1793 1040 1835 1065">0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1436 1089 1499 1114">Service</td> <td data-bbox="1793 1089 1835 1114">5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td data-bbox="1436 1138 1541 1162">Distribution</td> <td data-bbox="1793 1138 1835 1162">10%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Alcohol	Production	5%		Distribution	10%	Animal Testing	Non pharmaceutical beyond legal requirements	0%	Gambling	Production	0%		Distribution & Service	10%	Military equipment and services	Production and services	combat involvement			Non-combat involvement			Civil firearms		Controversial Weapons	0%	Pornography	Production	0%		Distribution	10%	Tobacco	Production	0%		Service	5%		Distribution	10%
Alcohol	Production	5%																																									
	Distribution	10%																																									
Animal Testing	Non pharmaceutical beyond legal requirements	0%																																									
Gambling	Production	0%																																									
	Distribution & Service	10%																																									
Military equipment and services	Production and services	combat involvement																																									
		Non-combat involvement																																									
		Civil firearms																																									
	Controversial Weapons	0%																																									
Pornography	Production	0%																																									
	Distribution	10%																																									
Tobacco	Production	0%																																									
	Service	5%																																									
	Distribution	10%																																									
c) List of governance factors considered	Exclusion based on Controversial Business Practices: Severe violations of labour rights (forced labour, child labour, discrimination, freedom of association, other areas) and severe forms of corruption and business malpractices.																																										

<p>6. For each benchmark, the ESG factors that are taken into account in the benchmark methodology depending on the relevant underlying asset concerned.</p> <p>Explanation how those ESG factors are used for the selection, weighting or exclusion of underlying assets.</p> <p>The ESG factors are not disclosed for each constituent of the benchmark, but are disclosed at an aggregated weighted average value of the benchmark.</p>	
<p>a) List of environmental factors considered</p>	<p>UC ESG Goods for Life Index Series:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The selection is based on the ESG Performance Score, i.e. the stocks with the highest ESG Performance Score are selected. The ESG Performance Score is a score independent of the industry on a scale of 0-100, measuring the sustainability of companies.</li> <li>- In addition to the exclusion and selection as outlined above there is no ESG-data based weighting applied.</li> </ul> <p>UC SDG Transatlantic Leaders Index Series:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In addition to the ESG exclusion as describe above, the selection is also based on the SDG Rating: Each potential constituent must possess an “SDG Single Rating” greater than 5 for each SDG and an “SDG Overall Impact Rating” greater than 0.</li> <li>- In addition to the exclusions as outlined above the following selection scheme is applied: For each industry sector those stocks are selected that have not been excluded and show the highest SDG Overall Impact Rating (limited to 5 per sector).</li> <li>- In addition to the exclusions and the selection scheme the selected stocks are weighted relative to their free float market capitalization multiplied with their SDG Overall Impact Rating.</li> </ul>
<p>b) List of social factors considered</p>	<p>UC ESG Goods for Life Index Series:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The selection is based on the ESG Performance Score, i.e. the stocks</li> </ul>

	<p>with the highest ESG Performance Score are selected. The ESG Performance Score is a score independent of the industry on a scale of 0-100, measuring the sustainability of companies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In addition to the exclusion and selection as outlined above there is no ESG-data based weighting applied.</li> </ul> <p>UC SDG Transatlantic Leaders Index Series:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In addition to the ESG exclusion as describe above, the selection is also based on the SDG Rating: Each potential constituent must possess an “SDG Single Rating” greater than 5 for each SDG and an “SDG Overall Impact Rating” greater than 0.</li> <li>- In addition to the exclusions as outlined above the following selection scheme is applied: For each industry sector those stocks are selected that have not been excluded and show the highest SDG Overall Impact Rating (limited to 5 per sector).</li> <li>- In addition to the exclusions and the selection scheme the selected stocks are weighted relative to their free float market capitalization multiplied with their SDG Overall Impact Rating.</li> </ul>
<p>c) List of governance factors considered</p>	<p>UC ESG Goods for Life Index Series:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The selection is based on the ESG Performance Score, i.e. the stocks with the highest ESG Performance Score are selected. The ESG Performance Score is a score independent of the industry on a scale of 0-100, measuring the sustainability of companies.</li> <li>- In addition to the exclusion and selection as outlined above there is no ESG-data based weighting applied.</li> </ul> <p>UC SDG Transatlantic Leaders Index Series:</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In addition to the ESG exclusion as describe above, the selection is also based on the SDG Rating: Each potential constituent must possess an “SDG Single Rating” greater than 5 for each SDG and an “SDG Overall Impact Rating” greater than 0.</li> <li>- In addition to the exclusions as outlined above the following selection scheme is applied: For each industry sector those stocks are selected that have not been excluded and show the highest SDG Overall Impact Rating (limited to 5 per sector).</li> <li>- In addition to the exclusions and the selection scheme the selected stocks are weighted relative to their free float market capitalization multiplied with their SDG Overall Impact Rating.</li> </ul>
7. Data and standards used	
a) Data input i) Description whether the data are reported, modelled or sourced internally or externally. ii) Where the data are reported, modelled or sourced externally, name of the third party data provider.	i) The data used to construct the index series of the UC Equity ESG Benchmark Family is sourced externally. ii) Institutional Shareholder Services Inc.
b) Verification and quality of data Description how data are verified and how the quality of those data is ensured.	The external provider of ESG data input is chosen by the Benchmark Administrator based on an evaluation of its processes in order to ensure the reliability and quality of the ESG data input.
c) Reference standards Description of the international standards used in the benchmark methodology.	Orientation on the ten principles of the United Nations Global Compact, a worldwide initiative for responsible corporate governance.
Date on which information has been last updated	26 February 2021
Reason for the update	Creation